

α-Glucosidase and α-amylase inhibitory activities of *Pithecellobium dulce* bark and leaves

Shankar D. Katekhaye*1, Dnyaneshwar M. Nagmoti2

1MNPRL, Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research, Institute of Chemical Technology, Matunga, Mumbai-19, India.
2MNP, Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research, Institute of Chemical Technology, Maunga, Mumbai-19, India.

*Corresponding author: shankar.katekhaye@gmail.com

Received: 5 August 2012, Revised: 21 September 2012, Accepted: 24 September 2012

Abstract

In present study the bark and leaves of *Pithecellobium dulce* were evaluated for α-amylase and α-glucosidases inhibition in vitro, compared with acarbose. acetone extracts of bark and leaves showed more sucrase inhibition (IC₅₀ = 1.29±0.32 and 1.43±0.84 mg/mL, respectively) than maltase (IC₅₀ = 1.49±0.18 and 2.07±0.45 mg/mL, respectively), while methanol extract of bark and leaves showed more sucrase inhibition (IC₅₀ = 2.35±0.72 and 2.21±0.28 mg/mL, respectively) than maltase (IC₅₀ = 2.03±0.91 and 2.46±0.44 mg/mL, respectively). Amylase inhibitory activity of acetone extract of bark and leaves was found to be 74.78% and 62.43% while methanol extract had 72.23% and 68.32% inhibition at 4 mg/mL, respectively. Acarbose (standard) showed more sucrase inhibition (IC₅₀ = 8.45±0.21 µg/mL) than maltase (IC₅₀ = 27.62±1.34 µg/mL) and had 83.40% α-amylase inhibition at 50 µg/mL. Acetone extract showed significant activity against α-glucosidases for sucrase than maltase enzyme.
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Introduction

The prevalence and morbidity associated with type 2 diabetes mellitus continues to increase throughout the world. The secondary complications in diabetes mostly from micro and macro vascular changes (Engelgau, et al., 2004). Several studies on the treatment of Type 2 diabetes suggest that improved glycemic control reduces micro vascular risks (Gaster and Hirsch, 1998; Ohkubo, et al., 1995; Vijan, et al., 1997). Glucosidase inhibitors are widely studied and isolated from different sources such as plants (Yoshikawa, et al., 1098) and microbes (Kameda, et al., 1984). In 1970s, it was realized that inhibition of all or some of the intestinal disaccharidases and pancreatic α-amylase by inhibitors could regulate the absorption of carbohydrate and these inhibitors could be used therapeutically in the oral treatment
of the non insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus (Type II diabetes). Acarbose, is a potent pig intestinal sucrase inhibitor with an IC50 value of 0.5 mM (Schmidt, et al., 1997). However use of acarbose leads to intestinal disturbances in many patients and there exists a need for development of better and more tolerable α-glucosidase inhibitors.

Pithecellobium dulce (PD) belonging to the Leguminosae family is found throughout India. It is commonly known as ‘Vilayati Chinch’ or ‘Chichbul’ and has been documented in the ancient Ayurvedic writings to possess anti diabetic effect. P. dulce has been reported to possess multiple activities such as astringent in dysentery, febrifuge, abortive, antidiabetic, anticonvulsant, antiulcer and larvicide. It is also useful in dermatitis, eye inflammation, indigestion, intestinal disorder, ear ache, leprosy and tooth ache. The leaves can be applied as plasters for pain and veneral sores (Sugumaran, et al., 2008). The plant is used for hundreds of years in Ayurvedic medicine with no reported toxicity.

Phytochemical investigation of bark and leaves had revealed the presence of β-sitosterol, saponin glycosides, oleanolic and echinocystic acids as sapogenins, echinocystic acid, bisdesmoside, dulcin, triterpenoids, flavanoids, saccharides, long chain aliphatic hydrocarbons, and tannins (Nigam, et al., 1997; Yoshikawa, et al., 1997; Khatri and Nasir, 1994; Hosmani, 1995; Banarjee, 2005; Saxena and Singhal, 1998; Saxena and Singhal, 1999; Zapesocynaya, et al., 1980; Nigam and Mitra, 1968). Several reports on the phytochemical analysis of P. dulce have been recently published but very little information is available about biological activity against α-glucosidase and α-amylase enzymes. In the present study the α-glucosidase and α-amylase inhibitory activity of the P. dulce has been evaluated by in vitro assays.

Methods and materials

Plant material and chemicals

P. dulce wood bark and leaves were collected from Mumbai, Maharashtra, India and verified by the Dr. G. Iyer, Ruia College, Mumbai, India. Porcine pancreatic α-amylase was procured from Sigma Aldrich Inc., (St Louis, MO). Dinitrosalicylic acid (DNS) and Tris base was obtained from Himedia Laboratory, Mumbai. A glucose estimation kit was procured from Accurex Biomedical Pvt. Ltd., Thane, Mumbai. Starch, Maltose and Sucrose were purchased from Sisco Research Laboratories, (Mumbai, India). Acarbose was obtained from Bayer Medical Co. (Germany). All other chemicals and solvents used are of analytical grade.

Extract preparation

The shade dried plant material chopped into small pieces and pulverized into a fine powder. The plant material (1 kg) was extracted by Soxhlet apparatus for 24 h using methanol as solvent. Same process was used for 70% acetone (aqueous acetone). For each new solvent plant material was air dried. The solvents were concentrated under vacuum.

α-Amylase inhibition assay

α-Amylase activity was performed according to the chromogenic non-pre-incubation method described by Ali et al. (Madar, 1989; Kim, et al., 2005; Rhabasa-Lhoret and Chai-
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Isolation of α-glucosidase from rat small intestine

The small intestine of male Wistar rats (180 g) was collected after sacrificing the animal under anesthesia. The intestine was thoroughly cleaned with saline and epithelial layer (mucosal tissue was collected by scraping the luminal surface firmly with a spatula. The mucosal scraping were homogenized in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) pH 7.4 containing 1 % triton x 10, and then centrifuged at 12000 rpm for 15 min. The supernatant fraction contained rat small intestinal α-glucosidase. Butanol was added to the supernatant fraction 1:1 proportion and centrifuged at 15000 rpm for 15 min. The aqueous layer was dialyzed overnight against the same buffer. After dialysis, the concentrated enzyme was used as crude α-glucosidase enzyme in the study to observe inhibition by different extracts of P. dulce. All the preparations were carried out at 4 °C. The protein content of enzyme preparation was estimated by Lowry method.

α-glucosidase inhibition assay

The effect of extracts of wood bark and leaves of P. dulce on rat intestinal α-glucosidase activity was assayed according to the method of Matsui et al., with slight modifications (Matsui, et al., 1996). Briefly 0.5 mg protein equivalent of crude α-glucosidase enzyme was incubated with different concentrations of PD for 5 min before initiating the reaction with substrates maltose (6 mM) and sucrose (45 mM), in a final reaction mixture of 1 mL of 0.1 M PD.
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Phosphate buffer pH 7.2. The reaction mixture was incubated for 20 and 30 min at 37 °C for substrates maltose and sucrose, respectively. The reaction was stopped by adding 1.0 mL of Tris base and α-glucosidase activity was determined by monitoring the glucose released from maltose and sucrose by glucose oxidase method. Enzyme inhibition data were expressed as IC$_{50}$ value (the concentration of PD required to inhibit 50% of α-glucosidase activity).

**Statistical analysis**

All data are expressed as mean ± S. D. for six experiments. Linear regression analysis was used to calculate IC$_{50}$ values.

**Results**

**α-Amylase inhibitory assay**

Plant derived compounds continue to provide valuable therapeutic agents, in both modern medicine and in traditional system. Methanol and 70% acetone extract of \textit{P. dulce} were studied for their inhibitory effect on α-amylase enzyme involved in starch hydrolysis which is responsible for the increase in postprandial glucose levels in diabetes mellitus. The maximum inhibition of acetone extract of bark was 74.78% and of leaves was 62.42% at the concentration of 4 mg/mL, while the acarbose showed 83.40% inhibition of α-amylase enzyme. The figures 1 represent the α-amylase inhibitory activity of acetone and methanol extracts of bark and leaves respectively.

**α-Glucosidase inhibitory assay**

The \textit{in vitro} α-glucosidase inhibitory studies confirmed that both the acetone and methanol extracts had α-glucosidase inhibitory activity. A dose dependant inhibition of α-gl-
ucosidase enzymes such as sucrase and maltase was observed by acetone and methanol extracts of \textit{P. dulce} bark and leaves extracts (Figure 2 and 3). The IC$_{50}$ values of acetone extracts of bark and leaves for sucrase was found to be 1.29±0.32 and 1.43±0.84 mg/mL while methanol extracts 2.35±0.72 and 2.21±0.28 mg/mL respectively. The IC$_{50}$ values for maltase inhibitory activity was found to be 1.49±0.18 and 2.07±0.45 mg/mL for acetone extract, and 2.03±0.91 and 2.46±0.44 mg/mL for methanol extracts of bark and leaves of \textit{P. dulce} respectively. The standard drug acarbose showed more sucrase (IC$_{50}$ = 8.45±0.21 µg/mL) than maltase (IC$_{50}$ = 27.62 ± 1.34 µg/mL) inhibition.

**Discussion**

Natural \(\alpha\)-amylase and \(\alpha\)-glucosidase inhibitors from food-grade plant sources offer an attractive therapeutic approach to the treatment of post-prandial hyperglycemia by decreasing glucose release from starch and delaying carbohydrate absorption by inhibiting the activity of the carbohydrate hydrolyzing enzymes in the small intestine and may have poten-
tial for use in the treatment of diabetes mellitus and obesity. On the basis of the prevalence, the delay or inhibition of carbohydrate digestion would contribute to optimize a postprandial blood glucose level (Gallaher and Schneeman, 1986; Murai, et al., 2002; Chiasson, et al., 2002). There are many natural resources with the α-glucosidase inhibitory activity and some of them are more specific for sucrase inhibition rather than maltase inhibition. Inhibition of α-amylase, maltase and sucrase by a polyphenolic extract of green tea has been reported (Hara and Honda, 1990; Honda and Hara, 1993). Our present research suggest that the presence of polyphenolic compounds of *P. dulce* may have a potentially important role in managing diabetes via the inhibition of α-amylase and α-glucosidase enzyme activities. The α-glucosidase and α-amylase inhibitory activity of methanol and 70% acetone extract was confirmed in this study.

Nonetheless, it is important to mention here that α-amylase breaks down starch into disaccharides that are acted upon by isomaltases, especially α-glucosidase to release glucose. The presence of potent α-glucosidase inhibitory activity therefore, appears more important in controlling the release of glucose from disaccharides in the gut than α-amylase inhibition. However, moderate α-amylase inhibition with potent α-glucosidase inhibitory activity may offer better therapeutic strategy that could slowdown the availability of dietary carbohydrate substrate for glucose production in gut. Food-grade phenolic α-amylase inhibitors from dietary plant extracts are potentially safer, and therefore may be a preferred alternative for modulation of carbohydrate digestion and control of glycemic index of food products. Furthermore, the present results demonstrate that the methanol and acetone extract from *P. dulce* bark contained potent α-glucosidase, α-amylase inhibitors and were effective for suppressing post-prandial hyperglycemia.

In conclusion, *P. dulce* wood bark and leaves extract demonstrates good α-glucosidase and α-amylase inhibitory activity. Further attempts at isolation and purification of the active constituent from the extract are ongoing. From previous studies it has been found that leaf contains compounds like Insulin and bark contains catecol type of compounds. *P. dulce* extracts have the dual advantage of having α-glucosidase and pancreatic α-amylase inhibitor action hence could prove to be an effective treatment for diabetes mellitus.
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